

## Permanent regimes for the Vlasov–Maxwell equations with specular boundary conditions

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 355502

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/35/355502>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.155

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:06

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Permanent regimes for the Vlasov–Maxwell equations with specular boundary conditions

**Mihai Bostan**

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon, UMR CNRS 6623, Université de Franche-Comté,  
16 route de Gray, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France

E-mail: [mbostan@univ-fcomte.fr](mailto:mbostan@univ-fcomte.fr)

Received 22 March 2009, in final form 6 July 2009

Published 17 August 2009

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/355502](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/355502)

## Abstract

The subject matter of this paper concerns the existence of permanent regimes (i.e. stationary or time periodic solutions) for the Vlasov–Maxwell system in a bounded domain. We are looking for equilibrium configurations by imposing specular boundary conditions. The main difficulty is the treatment of such boundary conditions. Our analysis relies on perturbative techniques, based on uniform *a priori* estimates.

PACS numbers: 52.35.Mw, 41.20.Gz

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35F30, 35L40

## 1. Introduction

In this paper we construct weak solutions for the Vlasov–Maxwell equations in a bounded domain. Our main interest focuses on permanent regimes: stationary or time-periodic solutions satisfying specular boundary conditions.

The Vlasov equation describes the dynamics of a population of charged particles, in terms of a particle density  $f = f(t, x, p) \geq 0$  depending on time  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , position  $x \in \Omega$  and momentum  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Here  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We introduce the standard notations

$$\Sigma = \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \Sigma^\pm = \{(x, p) \in \Sigma : \pm(v(p) \cdot n(x)) > 0\},$$

where  $n(x)$  is the unit outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x$  and  $v(p)$  is the velocity function associated with the kinetic energy function  $\mathcal{E}(p)$  by  $v(p) = \nabla_p \mathcal{E}(p)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . These functions are given in the classical case by

$$\mathcal{E}(p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2m}, \quad v(p) = \frac{p}{m}, \quad (1)$$

and in the relativistic case by

$$\mathcal{E}(p) = mc^2 \left( \left( 1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right), \quad v(p) = \frac{p}{m} \left( 1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $m$  is the particle mass and  $c$  is the light speed in the vacuum. If the collisions are neglected, the motion of the particle population, with charge  $q$  and number density  $f$ , under the action of the electro-magnetic field  $(E(t, x), B(t, x))$  is described at the microscopic level by the Vlasov equation:

$$\partial_t f + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + q(E(t, x) + v(p) \wedge B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_p f = 0, \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (3)$$

In many cases we assume that the particle density is known on the incoming boundary  $\Sigma^-$ . It is also possible to impose specular boundary conditions, i.e. all particles are reflected on the boundary. In particular, this boundary condition guarantees the conservation of the total number of particles. We are looking for densities  $f$  satisfying specular boundary conditions

$$f(t, x, p) = f(t, x, R(x)p), \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-, \quad (4)$$

where  $R(x) = I - 2n(x) \otimes n(x)$  is the symmetry with respect to the plane orthogonal to  $n(x)$ :

$$R(x)p = p - 2(p \cdot n(x))n(x), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma.$$

The self-consistent electro-magnetic field obeys the Maxwell equations

$$\partial_t E - c^2 \operatorname{curl}_x B = -\frac{j}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \partial_t B + \operatorname{curl}_x E = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \quad (5)$$

$$\operatorname{div}_x E = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \operatorname{div}_x B = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega, \quad (6)$$

and we impose the Silver–Müller boundary condition

$$n(x) \wedge E(t, x) + cn(x) \wedge (n(x) \wedge B(t, x)) = h(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega, \quad (7)$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of the vacuum,  $\rho(t, x) = q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) dp$  is the charge density,  $j(t, x) = q \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(p) f(t, x, p) dp$  is the current density and  $h$  is a given tangential field on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.  $(n(x) \cdot h(x)) = 0, x \in \partial\Omega$ , such that  $H = \int_{\partial\Omega} |h(x)|^2 d\sigma < +\infty$ . The system (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) is called the Vlasov–Maxwell problem. In many situations (plasma physics, gaz dynamics, etc) we have not enough information about the initial conditions; in that case the Cauchy problem is not relevant. Nevertheless, we may investigate the permanent regimes, expecting that long-time asymptotics occur towards such an equilibrium. Here we study the time-periodic solutions for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem, and therefore there are no initial conditions to be imposed. By weak solution we understand solution in the distribution sense.

**Definition 1.1.** Assume that  $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^3, (n \cdot h)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ . We say that  $(f, E, B) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)) \times L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^3)^2$  is a  $T$  periodic weak solution for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem iff

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(t, x, p) (\partial_t \theta + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + q(E(t, x) + v(p) \wedge B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) dp dx dt = 0$$

for any  $T$  periodic function  $\theta \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying  $\theta(t, x, p) = \theta(t, x, R(x)p)$ ,  $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$  and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \{E(t, x) \cdot \partial_t \varphi + c^2 B(t, x) \cdot \partial_t \psi + c^2 (B(t, x) \cdot \text{curl}_x \varphi - E(t, x) \cdot \text{curl}_x \psi)\} dx dt + c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \wedge \varphi) \cdot h(x) d\sigma dt - \frac{q}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot \varphi) f(t, x, p) dp dx dt = 0 \tag{8}$$

for all  $T$  periodic fields  $\varphi, \psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega})^3$  satisfying  $n(x) \wedge \varphi(t, x) - cn(x) \wedge (n(x) \wedge \psi(t, x)) = 0$ ,  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.1.** In order to also satisfy the divergence constraint (6) it is convenient to solve the perturbed periodic problem (which is obtained by replacing all the time derivatives  $\partial_t$  by  $\alpha + \partial_t$ )

$$\begin{cases} \alpha f(t, x, p) + \partial_t f + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + q(E(t, x) + v(p) \wedge B(t, x)) \cdot \nabla_p f = 0 \\ \alpha E(t, x) + \partial_t E - c^2 \text{curl}_x B = -\frac{j(t, x)}{\varepsilon_0} \\ \alpha B(t, x) + \partial_t B + \text{curl}_x E = 0 \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

for any  $\alpha > 0$  and then to pass to the limit when  $\alpha$  goes to 0. Indeed, in this case it is easily seen that

$$\alpha \text{div}_x B + \partial_t \text{div}_x B = 0,$$

and therefore, by time periodicity one gets  $\text{div}_x B = 0$ . Similarly, using the continuity equation

$$\alpha \rho(t, x) + \partial_t \rho + \text{div}_x j = 0,$$

we deduce that

$$\alpha \left( \text{div}_x E - \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \right) + \partial_t \left( \text{div}_x E - \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \right) = 0,$$

which implies by time periodicity that  $\text{div}_x E = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$ . For simplifying our computations we skip these details: we perform the computations with  $\alpha = 0$ , assuming that the divergence constraints hold true (the reader can convince himself that similar results hold when keeping  $\alpha > 0$  in the equations).

The existence of global weak solution for the free space Vlasov–Maxwell system in three dimensions was obtained by DiPerna and Lions [8]. The global existence of strong solutions is still an open problem. Results for the relativistic case were obtained by Glassey and Schaeffer [10, 11], Glassey and Strauss [12, 13], Klainerman and Staffilani [16], and Bouchut *et al* [6].

Neglecting the relativistic corrections and the magnetic field leads to the Vlasov–Poisson problem. This model is justified by studying the asymptotic behaviour of the relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell problem when the particle velocities are small with respect to the light speed [7, 4].

The Cauchy problem for the free space Vlasov–Poisson system is now well understood, see Arseneev [1] for weak solutions, and Ukai and Okabe [22], Pfaffelmoser [19], Bardos and Degond [2], Schaeffer [21], Lions and Perthame [17] for strong solutions.

For real life applications (vacuum diodes, tube discharges, satellite ionization, thrusters, etc) we consider boundary value problems [15]. The stationary problems were studied by

Greengard and Raviart [14] and Poupaud [20]. Results for the time periodic case can be found in [3, 5].

Our main result states the existence of weak  $T$  periodic solution for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem with specular boundary condition. Actually we construct such a solution for any given incoming mass flux over a time period.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with smooth boundary, strictly star-shaped. Let  $g = g(t, x, p)$  be a  $T$  periodic non-negative bounded function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$  and  $h = h(x)$  be a tangential field on  $\partial\Omega$  verifying*

$$M^- = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| g(t, x, p) dp d\sigma dt < +\infty \tag{10}$$

$$K^- = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \mathcal{E}(p) g(t, x, p) dp d\sigma dt < +\infty \tag{11}$$

$$H = \int_{\partial\Omega} |h(x)|^2 d\sigma < +\infty.$$

Then there is a weak  $T$  periodic solution  $(f, E, B)$  for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), with traces  $\gamma^\pm f$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm$ , tangential traces  $(n \wedge E, n \wedge B)$  and normal traces  $(n \cdot E, n \cdot B)$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$  satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f dp d\sigma dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| g dp d\sigma dt = M^- \tag{12}$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f(t, x, p) dp dx dt + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|E|^2 + c^2 |B|^2) dx dt \leq C_1 \tag{13}$$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \mathcal{E}(p) \gamma f(t, x, p) dp d\sigma dt \leq C_1 \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (|n \wedge E|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B|^2) d\sigma dt + \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E)^2 + c^2 (n \cdot B)^2 d\sigma dt \leq C_1$$

for some constant  $C_1$  depending on  $\Omega, T, H, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}, M^-, K^-$ .

We also study the Vlasov–Maxwell problem with perfect conducting boundary conditions:

$$n \wedge E = 0, \quad n \cdot B = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \tag{15}$$

The second perfect conducting boundary condition in (15) is a consequence of the first perfect conducting boundary condition in (15) and the time periodicity. Indeed, as before, replacing  $\partial_t$  by  $\alpha + \partial_t$  leads to

$$\alpha B + \partial_t B + \text{curl}_x E = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega.$$

Multiplying by  $\nabla_x \varphi$ , for any test function  $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  and taking into account that  $\text{div}_x B = 0, n \wedge E = 0$  yield after integration by parts

$$\alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \cdot B(t, x)) \varphi d\sigma + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \cdot B(t, x)) \varphi d\sigma = 0.$$

Since  $t \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \cdot B(t, x)) \varphi d\sigma$  is  $T$  periodic, one gets  $\int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \cdot B(t, x)) \varphi(x) d\sigma = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , for any  $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ , saying that  $n \cdot B = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$ . As said before, we perform

our computations only for  $\alpha = 0$ , assuming that the perfect conducting boundary condition  $n \cdot B = 0$  holds true. We establish the existence result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with smooth boundary, strictly star shaped. Let  $g = g(t, x, p)$  be a  $T$  periodic non-negative bounded function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$  verifying (10) and (11). Then there is a weak  $T$  periodic solution  $(f, E, B)$  for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6), (15) satisfying (12), (13), (14) and*

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (c^2 |n \wedge B|^2 + (n \cdot E)^2) \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C_2$$

for some constant  $C_2$  depending on  $\Omega, T, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}, M^-, K^-$ .

Our paper is organized as follows. We start by constructing  $T$  periodic solutions  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$  for the Vlasov–Maxwell system with the boundary condition (4) replaced by

$$f(t, x, p) = \varepsilon g(t, x, p) + (1 - \varepsilon) f(t, x, R(x)p), \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-, \quad (16)$$

where  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  is a small parameter and  $g$  is a  $T$  periodic bounded non-negative function on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$  such that  $M^-(g) < +\infty, K^-(g) < +\infty$ . The existence of such solutions has been established in [5], p 660. The key point here is that the boundary condition (16) is of the form

$$f(t, x, p) = \tilde{g}(t, x, p) + a f(t, x, R(x)p), \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-,$$

where  $0 \leq a < 1$ . Section 2 is devoted to uniform estimates with respect to the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ . In the last section we appeal to compactness arguments, in order to construct  $T$  periodic weak solutions for the Vlasov–Maxwell system with specular boundary condition for particles and Silver–Müller or perfect conducting boundary condition for the electro-magnetic field.

## 2. A priori estimates

In this section we establish uniform estimates with respect to  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  for the periodic solutions  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$  of (3), (16), (5), (6), (7). We perform these computations only for smooth solutions, compactly supported in momentum. The general case follows by standard arguments using regularization and weak stability, see [5]. We skip these details. We appeal to the conservation of the mass, momentum and total energy. We need the following easy lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f = f(x, p) : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g = g(x, p) : \Sigma^- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be non-negative functions satisfying*

$$f(x, p) = \varepsilon g(x, p) + (1 - \varepsilon) f(x, R(x)p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-.$$

We assume that  $F = F(x, |p|)$  is a non-negative function such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma < +\infty$$

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- F(x, |p|) g(x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma < +\infty.$$

Then for a.a.  $x \in \partial\Omega$  we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp = \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp$$

$$- \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- F(x, |p|) g(x, p) \, dp,$$

where  $(\cdot)_{\pm}$  stands for the positive/negative part.

**Proof.** Pick any function  $\varphi \in C(\partial\Omega)$  and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n) \varphi F f \, dp \, d\sigma &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) f \, dp \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) f \, dp \, d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) f \, dp \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) g \, dp \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - (1 - \varepsilon) \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \varphi F f(x, R(x)p) \, dp \, d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that we have  $|R(x)p| = |p|$ ,  $(v(p) \cdot n(x)) = -(v(R(x)p) \cdot n(x))$  implying that

$$(v(p) \cdot n(x))_- = (v(R(x)p) \cdot n(x))_+.$$

Performing the change of variable  $q = R(x)p$  yields the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) f(x, R(x)p) \, dp \, d\sigma \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(q) \cdot n(x))_+ \varphi(x) F(x, |q|) f(x, q) \, dq \, d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Combining (17), (18) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n) \varphi F f \, dp \, d\sigma &= \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \varphi(x) F(x, |p|) g(x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

and therefore one gets for a.a.  $x \in \partial\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp &= \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ F(x, |p|) f(x, p) \, dp \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- F(x, |p|) g(x, p) \, dp. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The first uniform estimate comes by the mass conservation. Actually we determine the total outgoing/incoming mass fluxes over a period.

**Proposition 2.1.** For any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ , the  $T$  periodic particle density  $f_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_{\pm} f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = M^-.$$

**Proof.** Integrating the Vlasov equation (3) on  $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$  yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = 0.$$

Applying lemma 2.1 with  $F = 1$  implies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- g(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt.$$

We deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- g(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = M^-.$$

Using the boundary condition (16) we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- (\varepsilon g + (1 - \varepsilon) f_\varepsilon(t, x, R(x)p)) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ &= \varepsilon M^- + (1 - \varepsilon) \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(q) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon(t, x, q) \, dq \, d\sigma \, dt \\ &= M^-. \end{aligned}$$

□

The second uniform estimate is obtained by the conservation of the total energy

$$W(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, dx + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|E_\varepsilon(t, x)|^2 + c^2 |B_\varepsilon(t, x)|^2) \, dx.$$

Since we intend to impose the Silver–Müller boundary condition (7) or the perfect conducting boundary condition (15), we perform the total energy balance for solutions satisfying the boundary condition

$$n \wedge E_\varepsilon + \delta cn \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) = h(x), \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \tag{20}$$

Thus, when analysing the Vlasov–Maxwell problem with the Silver–Müller boundary condition (7), we take  $\delta = 1$  and when studying the Vlasov–Maxwell problem with perfect conducting boundary condition (15) we assume that  $h = 0$  and let  $\delta \searrow 0$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** *For any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ , the  $T$  periodic solution  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$  satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ \mathcal{E} f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (|n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + \delta^2 c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2) \, d\sigma \, dt \\ = \varepsilon K^- + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} T H. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (|n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + \delta^2 c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2) \, d\sigma \, dt \leq K^- + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} T H.$$

**Proof.** Multiplying (3) by  $\mathcal{E}(p)$  and (5) by  $(E_\varepsilon(t, x), c^2 B_\varepsilon(t, x))$  yields after integration

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \\ - q \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot E_\varepsilon) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$



and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |B_{\varepsilon}|^2) dx - c^2 \int_{\partial\Omega} (n(x) \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) \cdot E_{\varepsilon} d\sigma = -\frac{q}{\varepsilon_0} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot E_{\varepsilon}) f_{\varepsilon} dp dx.$$

Putting together the balances for the kinetic and electro-magnetic energies implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp dx + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (|E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |B_{\varepsilon}|^2) dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n) \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma \\ - c^2 \varepsilon_0 \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) \cdot E_{\varepsilon} d\sigma = 0. \end{aligned}$$

After integration with respect to  $t \in [0, T]$ , one gets by time periodicity

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt - \varepsilon_0 c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) \cdot E_{\varepsilon} d\sigma dt = 0. \quad (21)$$

By the Silver–Müller boundary condition (20), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \delta c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) \cdot E_{\varepsilon} d\sigma dt &= \delta c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon})) \cdot (n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}) d\sigma dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (|h|^2 - |n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}|^2 - \delta^2 c^2 |n \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon})|^2) d\sigma dt. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Using now lemma 2.1 with the function  $F = \mathcal{E}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) \mathcal{E} f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt &= \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \mathcal{E}(p) g dp d\sigma dt. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Finally combining (21), (22) and (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (|n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + \delta^2 c^2 |n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}|^2) d\sigma dt \\ = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \mathcal{E}(p) g dp d\sigma dt \\ + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |h|^2 d\sigma dt \\ = \varepsilon K^- + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\delta} TH, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

saying that the tangential traces of the electro-magnetic field are uniformly bounded in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^3)$  with respect to  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ .  $\square$

We also need a uniform bound for the outgoing kinetic energy

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt.$$

Note that, for the moment, equality (24) gives only a bound in  $1/\varepsilon$  (if  $\delta > 0$  is kept fixed). Actually we will see that (24) provides a uniform bound for the outgoing kinetic energy, but this requires the orthogonal decomposition of the tangential field  $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^3$  into irrotational and rotational parts. This result is analogous to the well-known orthogonal decomposition result for fields of  $L^2(\Omega)^3$  (see [9], p 22). For the sake of the presentation we give here some details, cf [4]. We assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded and smooth (generally  $C^1$ ). For any function  $u \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ , we denote by  $\nabla_{\tau} u$  the tangential gradient of  $u$ . We also define  $\text{curl}_{\tau} u := n \wedge \nabla_{\tau} u$

if  $u \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ . It is easily seen that  $n \cdot \nabla_\tau u = 0, n \cdot \text{curl}_\tau u = 0$  and a direct computation shows that  $\nabla_\tau$  and  $\text{curl}_\tau$  are orthogonal in  $L^2(\partial\Omega)^3$ :

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_\tau u \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau v) \, d\sigma = 0, \quad u, v \in C^1(\partial\Omega).$$

Moreover, by density we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_\tau \varphi \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau \psi) \, d\sigma = 0, \quad \varphi, \psi \in H^1(\partial\Omega).$$

For the definition of Sobolev spaces on  $\partial\Omega$ , the reader can refer to [18]. We introduce the notations  $X = \{u \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^3 \mid n \cdot u(x) = 0 \text{ a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega\}, Y = \{\nabla_\tau \varphi \mid \varphi \in H^1(\partial\Omega)\}, Z = \{n \wedge \nabla_\tau \psi \mid \psi \in H^1(\partial\Omega)\}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** (cf [4], proposition A.5, p 487) Assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is bounded, simply connected and regular ( $C^1$ ). Then  $Y$  and  $Z$  are closed orthogonal subspaces of  $X$  and we have the decomposition

$$X = Y + Z. \tag{25}$$

By the previous result we deduce that there are  $h_1, h_2 \in H^1(\partial\Omega)$  such that

$$h = \nabla_\tau h_1 + n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2.$$

Actually the functions  $h_1, h_2$  are unique up to a constant. Without loss of generality we assume that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} h_1 \, d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} h_2 \, d\sigma = 0$ . By the orthogonality we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |h|^2 \, d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma$$

and by the Poincaré inequality one gets

$$\|h_1\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} + \|h_2\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega) \|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}.$$

We recall now the divergence equations verified on the boundary  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$  by  $T$  periodic solutions of the Maxwell equations, cf [4]. We denote by  $\nabla_{(t,\tau)}, \text{div}_{(t,\tau)}$  the gradient and divergence operators on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Assume that  $\Omega$  is regular and consider  $(E, B) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^3)^2$  a  $T$  periodic weak solution for the Maxwell equations

$$\partial_t E - c^2 \text{curl}_x B = -\frac{j(t, x)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \partial_t B + \text{curl}_x E = 0, \quad \text{div}_x E = \frac{\rho(t, x)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \text{div}_x B = 0 \tag{26}$$

with tangential and normal traces  $(n \wedge E, n \wedge B) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^3)^2$ , respectively  $((n \cdot E), (n \cdot B)) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega))^2$ . We also assume that the charge density  $\rho$  belongs to  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\Omega))$ , the current density belongs to  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\Omega)^3)$  and that the continuity equation  $\partial_t \rho + \text{div}_x j = 0$  holds true in  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_\Omega \rho \partial_t \varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_\Omega j \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j) \varphi \, d\sigma \, dt, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega}), \quad T \text{ periodic} \end{aligned}$$

for some function  $(n \cdot j) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^1(\partial\Omega))$ . Then the traces of the electro-magnetic field verify the following divergence equations in  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ :

$$\text{div}_{(t,\tau)}((n \cdot E), c^2(n \wedge B)) = -\frac{(n \cdot j)}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \text{div}_{(t,\tau)}((n \cdot B), -(n \wedge E)) = 0,$$

i.e.

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E) \partial_t \psi \, d\sigma \, dt - c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi \, d\sigma \, dt = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j) \psi \, d\sigma \, dt$$

and

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot B) \partial_t \psi \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E) \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi \, d\sigma \, dt = 0,$$

for all function  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ ,  $T$  periodic.

**Proof.** Consider the test function  $\eta(t) \nabla_x \varphi$ , where  $\eta \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  is  $T$  periodic and  $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ . By using the first equation of (26) with this test function, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta'(t) E(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, dx \, dt - c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta(t) (n \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, d\sigma \, dt \\ = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta(t) j \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

By using now the third equation of (26) with the test function  $-\eta'(t) \varphi(x)$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta'(t) (n \cdot E) \varphi(x) \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta'(t) E(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, dx \, dt \\ = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho(t, x) \eta'(t) \varphi(x) \, dx \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By adding equations (27), (28), by observing that  $(n \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi = (n \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_\tau \varphi$  and by using the continuity equation, finally we obtain that

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E) \partial_t \psi \, d\sigma \, dt - c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi \, d\sigma \, dt = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j) \psi \, d\sigma \, dt,$$

for all  $\psi(t, x) = \eta(t) \varphi(x)$ . By density we deduce that the previous equality holds for all test functions  $\psi \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ ,  $T$  periodic and  $\text{div}_{(t,\tau)}((n \cdot E), c^2(n \wedge B)) = -\frac{(n \cdot j)}{\varepsilon_0}$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ . In order to establish the second divergence equation on the boundary we use the second equation of (26) with the test function  $\eta(t) \nabla_x \varphi$  which gives

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \eta'(t) B(t, x) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, dx \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \eta(t) (n \wedge E) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi \, d\sigma \, dt = 0.$$

By using also the fourth equation of (26) one finally gets

$$-\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot B) \partial_t \psi \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E) \cdot \nabla_\tau \psi \, d\sigma \, dt = 0,$$

or  $\text{div}_{(t,\tau)}((n \cdot B), -(n \wedge E)) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{per}}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega)$ .  $\square$

Based on the previous divergence equations satisfied by the electro-magnetic traces, we derive the following representation for the electro-magnetic energy flux  $\varepsilon_0 c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot E_\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, dt$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  the  $T$  periodic solution  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta c(n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot E_\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\
 &- \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_0 c} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j_\varepsilon) h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where  $h = \nabla_\tau h_1 + n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2$  is the orthogonal decomposition of the tangential field  $h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)^3$  into irrotational and rotational parts.

**Proof.** By the Silver–Müller boundary condition (20) we have

$$n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2 = -(\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1),$$

and therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) \cdot (n \wedge E_\varepsilon) &= -(\delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) - \nabla_\tau h_1 + \nabla_\tau h_1) \\
 &\cdot (n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2 + n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \\
 &- \nabla_\tau h_1 \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) - (n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_1 \\
 &- (\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1) \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

We will transform the last three terms. By the orthogonality of  $\nabla_\tau$  and  $n \wedge \nabla_\tau$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\partial\Omega} \nabla_\tau h_1 \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \, d\sigma &= 0 \\
 \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_1 \, d\sigma &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_1 \, d\sigma \\
 \int_{\partial\Omega} (\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1) \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \, d\sigma &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) \cdot (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2) \, d\sigma \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \delta c(n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_2 \, d\sigma,
 \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \delta c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot E_\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\
 &- \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_1 \, d\sigma \, dt - \delta c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Applying now the divergence equations in the conclusion of proposition 2.4 with the test function  $h_2(x), h_1(x)$  yields

$$-c^2 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j_\varepsilon) h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge E_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_\tau h_1 \, d\sigma \, dt = 0.$$

Finally one gets by (31)

$$-\delta c \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot E_\varepsilon \, d\sigma \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt - \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon_0 c} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j_\varepsilon) h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt. \quad \square$$

We derive now a uniform estimate for the outgoing/incoming kinetic energy fluxes  $\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_\pm \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt$ . This bound is a consequence of the total energy balance in proposition 2.2 and the representation formula in lemma 2.2. First we establish a uniform  $L^\infty$  bound for  $(f_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Assume that  $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)$  is non-negative. Then we have for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$*

$$\max\{\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}.$$

**Proof.** For any fixed  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  and given electro-magnetic field  $(E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$ , the solution of the Vlasov equation in (9) with the boundary condition (16) is obtained by the iterative scheme

$$\begin{cases} \alpha f^{(0)} + \partial_t f^{(0)} + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x f^{(0)} + q(E_\varepsilon + v(p) \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_p f^{(0)} = 0, & (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ f^{(0)}(t, x, p) = \varepsilon g(t, x, p), & (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^- \end{cases}$$

and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{cases} \alpha f^{(n+1)} + \partial_t f^{(n+1)} + v \cdot \nabla_x f^{(n+1)} + q(E_\varepsilon + v \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot \nabla_p f^{(n+1)} = 0, & (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ f^{(n+1)}(t, x, p) = \varepsilon g(t, x, p) + (1 - \varepsilon) f^{(n)}(t, x, R(x)p), & (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^- \end{cases}$$

Indeed, we have  $0 \leq f^{(0)} \leq f^{(1)}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$ , and thus, by comparison principle (which holds true for time periodic solutions and any  $\alpha > 0$ ) one gets  $0 \leq f^{(0)} \leq f^{(1)}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$ . Assuming that  $0 \leq f^{(n)} \leq f^{(n+1)}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$ , we deduce that  $f^{(n+1)} \leq f^{(n+2)}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$ , which implies by comparison principle that  $f^{(n+1)} \leq f^{(n+2)}$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3$  and on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$ . Finally, we check easily that the monotonous sequence  $(f^{(n)})_n$  converges to a  $T$  periodic solution  $f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$  of the Vlasov equation in (9) satisfying the boundary condition

$$f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t, x, p) = \varepsilon g(t, x, p) + (1 - \varepsilon) f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}(t, x, R(x)p), \quad (t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-.$$

Obviously we have

$$\max\{\|f^{(0)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f^{(0)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}.$$

Assuming that

$$\max\{\|f^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}$$

implies that  $\|f^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}$  and therefore

$$\max\{\|f^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f^{(n+1)}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}.$$

Passing to the limit with respect to  $n$  one gets

$$\max\{\|f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}.$$

In order to pass to the limit for  $\alpha \searrow 0$  observe that if  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$  then  $f_{\varepsilon,\alpha} \geq f_{\varepsilon,\beta}$  (actually this inequality holds true for any elements  $f_\alpha^{(n)}, f_\beta^{(n)}$  in the iterative schemes associated with the parameters  $\alpha, \beta$ ). Finally, it is easily seen that for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ , the function  $f_\varepsilon = \lim_{\alpha \searrow 0} f_{\varepsilon,\alpha}$  solves the problem (3), (16) and satisfies

$$\max\{\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)}, \|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)}\} \leq \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}. \quad \square$$

The estimate for the kinetic energy fluxes follows by combining the representation formula in lemma 2.2 and standard interpolation and Sobolev inequalities.

**Proposition 2.6.** *There is a constant  $C$  depending on  $T, \Omega, \|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}, \|g\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-)}, M^-, K^-$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  the  $T$  periodic solution  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$  satisfies*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_{\pm} \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 + |\delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C\varepsilon.$$

**Proof.** Combining (21), (23), (29) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & = \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \mathcal{E}(p) g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j_\varepsilon) h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Using now lemma 2.1 with  $F = 1$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} n(x) \cdot j_\varepsilon(t, x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) f_\varepsilon \, dp = \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon \, dp \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- g \, dp, \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot j_\varepsilon) h_2 \, d\sigma \, dt &= \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ h_2 f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- h_2 g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Putting together (32), (34) one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\varepsilon\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\varepsilon\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |\delta c(n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon)) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & = \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- (\mathcal{E}(p) - h_2(x)) g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ h_2 f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

We estimate now  $\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ h_2 f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt$  and  $\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- h_2 g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt$  by using Sobolev and interpolation inequalities. Since  $(f_\varepsilon)_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$  is uniformly bounded we

have for a.a.  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \partial\Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon \, dp &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{\{|p| < R\}} \, dp \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon \mathbf{1}_{\{|p| \geq R\}} \, dp \\ &\leq CR^4 \|g\|_{L^\infty} + \frac{1}{CR} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ (1 + \mathcal{E}(p)) f_\varepsilon \, dp. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the optimal value for  $R$  one gets

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ f_\varepsilon \, dp \leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ (1 + \mathcal{E}(p)) f_\varepsilon \, dp \right)^{4/5}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ f_\varepsilon(\cdot, \cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}(]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega)} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ (1 + \mathcal{E}) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \right)^{4/5} \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ \mathcal{E} f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + M^- \right)^{4/5}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Note that in the last inequality we have used proposition 2.1. Similarly one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- g(\cdot, \cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}(]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega)} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} \left( \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- (1 + \mathcal{E}) g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \right)^{4/5} \\ &\leq C \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} (M^- + K^-)^{4/5}. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Using now the Sobolev inclusion  $H^1(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^5(\partial\Omega)$  and the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ h_2 f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \right| &\leq \|h_2\|_{L^5(]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ f_\varepsilon \, dp \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}(]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, T) \|h_2\|_{H^1(\partial\Omega)} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ f_\varepsilon \, dp \right\|_{L^{\frac{5}{4}}(]0, T[ \times \partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C(\Omega, T) \|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} \\ &\quad \times \left( M^- + \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \right)^{4/5}. \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

In the same manner we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- h_2 g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \right| \leq C(\Omega, T) \|h\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty}^{1/5} (M^- + K^-)^{4/5}. \tag{39}$$

Combining (35), (38), (39) clearly gives a uniform bound for the outgoing kinetic energies

$$\sup_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C(\Omega, T, \|h\|_{L^2}, \|g\|_{L^\infty}, M^-, K^-).$$

Using now the boundary condition  $f_\varepsilon = \varepsilon g + (1 - \varepsilon) f_\varepsilon(t, x, R(x)p)$ ,  $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$  also gives a uniform bound for the incoming kinetic energies on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$ . Note also that (35) implies

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2\delta} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 + |\delta c n \wedge (n \wedge B_\varepsilon) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \leq C\varepsilon, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1. \quad \square$$

Once we have estimated the tangential traces of the electro-magnetic field, cf proposition 2.2, and the kinetic energy flux, cf proposition 2.6, it is possible to obtain uniform bounds for the total kinetic and electro-magnetic energies by appealing to the multiplier method [3, 4]. Using the momentum conservation yields

**Proposition 2.7.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded and strictly star-shaped. Then for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E} f_{\varepsilon} dp dx dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |B_{\varepsilon}|^2) dx dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E_{\varepsilon})^2 + c^2 (n \cdot B_{\varepsilon})^2 d\sigma dt \\ & \leq C(\Omega) \left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| |p| f_{\varepsilon} dp d\sigma dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}|^2 d\sigma dt \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Moreover, if  $\delta = 1$ , then there is a constant  $C$  depending on  $\Omega, T, \|h\|_{L^2}, \|g\|_{L^\infty}, M^-, K^-$  such that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp dx dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (|E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |B_{\varepsilon}|^2) dx dt \leq C \tag{41}$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E_{\varepsilon})^2 + c^2 (n \cdot B_{\varepsilon})^2 d\sigma dt \leq C. \tag{42}$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is strictly star shaped with respect to the origin  $0 \in \Omega$ , i.e.  $\exists r > 0 : r \leq (x \cdot n(x)), x \in \partial\Omega$ . We consider  $R > 0$  such that  $\Omega \subset B(0, R)$ . The momentum conservation reads

$$\partial_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p f_{\varepsilon} dp + \operatorname{div}_x \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} p \otimes v(p) f_{\varepsilon} dp - (\rho_{\varepsilon} E_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) = 0 \tag{43}$$

and direct computations with the Maxwell equations yield

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\varepsilon} E_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \wedge B_{\varepsilon} &= \varepsilon_0 (E_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}_x E_{\varepsilon} - E_{\varepsilon} \wedge \operatorname{curl}_x E_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon_0 c^2 (B_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}_x B_{\varepsilon} - B_{\varepsilon} \wedge \operatorname{curl}_x B_{\varepsilon}) \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_0 \partial_t (E_{\varepsilon} \wedge B_{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Using the identity

$$u_i \operatorname{div}_x u - (u \wedge \operatorname{curl}_x u)_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (u_i u_j) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} |u|^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3$$

and the decomposition

$$(E_{\varepsilon}, B_{\varepsilon}) = ((n \cdot E_{\varepsilon})n - n \wedge (n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}), (n \cdot B_{\varepsilon})n - n \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon})),$$

one gets after integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\rho_{\varepsilon} E_{\varepsilon} + j_{\varepsilon} \wedge B_{\varepsilon}) \cdot x = -\varepsilon_0 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \{(n \cdot E_{\varepsilon})(n \wedge (n \wedge E_{\varepsilon})) + c^2 (n \cdot B_{\varepsilon})(n \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}))\} \cdot x \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \{(n \cdot E_{\varepsilon})^2 + c^2 (n \cdot B_{\varepsilon})^2\} (n \cdot x) d\sigma dt \\ & \quad - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \{|n \wedge E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B_{\varepsilon}|^2\} (n \cdot x) d\sigma dt \\ & \quad + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \{|E_{\varepsilon}|^2 + c^2 |B_{\varepsilon}|^2\} dx dt. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$



Multiplying the momentum conservation (43) by  $x$  and integrating over  $[0, T] \times \Omega$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))(p \cdot x) f_\varepsilon dp d\sigma dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot p) f_\varepsilon dp dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (\rho_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon + j_\varepsilon \wedge B_\varepsilon) \cdot x dx dt. \tag{46}$$

Combining (45), (46) and observing that  $\mathcal{E}(p) \leq (v(p) \cdot p)$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon dp dx dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} |E_\varepsilon|^2 + c^2 |B_\varepsilon|^2 dx dt + \frac{r\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E_\varepsilon)^2 + c^2 (n \cdot B_\varepsilon)^2 d\sigma dt \\ \leq R \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| |p| f_\varepsilon dp d\sigma dt \\ + \frac{R\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \{|n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2\} d\sigma dt \\ + R\varepsilon_0 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \{|(n \cdot E_\varepsilon)| \cdot |n \wedge E_\varepsilon| + c^2 |(n \cdot B_\varepsilon)| \cdot |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|\} d\sigma dt. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

We obtain (40) by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |(n \cdot E_\varepsilon)| |n \wedge E_\varepsilon| d\sigma dt \leq \frac{\mu\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \cdot E_\varepsilon)^2 d\sigma dt + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2\mu} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma dt \\ \varepsilon_0 \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} c^2 |(n \cdot B_\varepsilon)| |n \wedge B_\varepsilon| d\sigma dt \leq \frac{\mu\varepsilon_0}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} c^2 (n \cdot B_\varepsilon)^2 d\sigma dt \\ + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2\mu} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma dt \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mu > 0$  small enough. The estimates (41), (42) follow easily since by propositions 2.1, 2.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| |p| f_\varepsilon dp d\sigma dt \\ \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| (1 + \mathcal{E}(p)) f_\varepsilon dp d\sigma dt \leq C \end{aligned}$$

and by proposition 2.2 we know that

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma dt \leq K^- + \frac{\varepsilon_0 C}{2} TH. \tag{48}$$

□

### 3. Existence results

We are ready now to prove the existence of  $T$  periodic weak solutions for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6), (7): it is a straightforward consequence of the uniform estimates for  $T$  periodic solutions  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$  with  $\delta = 1$ .

**Proof.** (of Theorem 1.1) The arguments are standard and are left to the reader. We construct our  $T$  periodic solution by taking a weak limit point of  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)_{0 < \varepsilon \leq 1}$ . We only justify that the limit solution satisfies the specular boundary condition (4) and the mass constraints (12). Take  $(\varepsilon_k)_k$  as a sequence of positive numbers converging towards 0 such that

$$f_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup f \text{ weakly } \star \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3)$$

$$(E_{\varepsilon_k}, B_{\varepsilon_k}) \rightharpoonup (E, B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^6)$$

$$(n \wedge E_{\varepsilon_k}, n \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k}) \rightharpoonup (n \wedge E, n \wedge B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^6)$$

$$(n \cdot E_{\varepsilon_k}, n \cdot B_{\varepsilon_k}) \rightharpoonup (n \cdot E, n \cdot B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^2).$$

Here  $n \wedge$  is the tangential trace and  $n \cdot$  is the normal trace over  $\partial\Omega$ . For any  $T$  periodic function  $\theta \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$  satisfying  $\theta(t, x, p) = \theta(t, x, R(x)p)$ ,  $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$ , we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_t \theta + v \cdot \nabla_x \theta + q(E_{\varepsilon_k} + v \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k}) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) f_{\varepsilon_k} dp dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n) \theta f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt. \tag{48}$$

But as in the proof of lemma 2.1 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) \theta f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt &= \varepsilon_k \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \theta f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt \\ &\quad - \varepsilon_k \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_- \theta g dp d\sigma dt, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x)) \theta f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt = 0.$$

We intend to pass to the limit for  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  in (48). As usual we use the compactness average result of Diperna and Lions [8] (which adapts easily in the time periodic case and for bounded domains) in order to treat the non linear terms  $f_{\varepsilon_k}(E_{\varepsilon_k} + v \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k}) \cdot \nabla_p \theta$ . Finally one gets

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\partial_t \theta + v(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + q(E + v(p) \wedge B) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) f dp dx dt = 0,$$

for any  $T$  periodic function  $\theta \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ , satisfying  $\theta(t, x, p) = \theta(t, x, R(x)p)$ ,  $(t, x, p) \in \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^+$ . We have by propositions 2.1 and 2.6

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt = M^-$$

and

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \mathcal{E}(p) \gamma^\pm f_{\varepsilon_k} dp d\sigma dt < +\infty.$$

After extraction eventually, we can assume that

$$\gamma^\pm f_{\varepsilon_k} \rightharpoonup \gamma^\pm f \text{ weakly } \star \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^\pm)$$

and we obtain easily that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f dp d\sigma dt = M^-. \quad \square$$

Actually it is possible to identify the tangential trace of the electro-magnetic field.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Under the hypotheses of theorem 1.1 (with  $\delta = 1$ ), the tangential traces of the electro-magnetic field  $(E, B)$  satisfies*

$$n \wedge E = n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2, \quad cn \wedge (n \wedge B) = \nabla_\tau h_1$$

where  $h = \nabla_\tau h_1 + n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2$ .

**Proof.** With the notations in the proof of theorem 1.1, we know by proposition 2.6 that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_{\varepsilon_k} - n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2|^2 + |cn \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k}) - \nabla_\tau h_1|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt < +\infty,$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (n \wedge E_{\varepsilon_k}, cn \wedge (n \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k})) = (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2, \nabla_\tau h_1) \text{ strongly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega))^6.$$

Therefore, we have  $(n \wedge E, cn \wedge (n \wedge B)) = (n \wedge \nabla_\tau h_2, \nabla_\tau h_1)$ . □

We investigate now the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6) with the perfect conducting boundary condition (15). In order to construct  $T$  periodic solutions for this problem, we replace (4) by (16) and (15) by (20) with  $\delta = \varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  and  $h = 0$ :

$$n \wedge E + \varepsilon cn \wedge (n \wedge B) = 0, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega. \tag{49}$$

**Proof.** (of Theorem 1.2) For any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$  we denote by  $(f_\varepsilon, E_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon)$  a  $T$  periodic weak solution for (3), (16), (5), (6), (49). By proposition 2.1 we know that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_\pm f_\varepsilon(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = M^-, \quad \varepsilon \in ]0, 1]. \tag{50}$$

Note also that by proposition 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ + \frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt = \varepsilon K^-, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_+ \mathcal{E}(p) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \leq K^- \tag{51}$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \leq \varepsilon^2 K^-. \tag{52}$$

From the above inequality we deduce that  $(n \wedge E_\varepsilon)_\varepsilon$  converges towards 0 in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^3)$ . Combining (16) and (51) yields for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- \mathcal{E} f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- \mathcal{E}(\varepsilon g + (1 - \varepsilon) f_\varepsilon(t, x, R(x)p)) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v \cdot n)_- \mathcal{E}(p) g \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt + (1 - \varepsilon) K^- \\ &= K^-. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

At this stage let us mention that (41), (42) still hold true uniformly with respect to  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ . Indeed, this is a direct consequence of (40) (which is valid for any  $\delta = \varepsilon \in ]0, 1]$ ) since we already know that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((v(p) \cdot n(x))_\pm |p| f_\varepsilon) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt &\leq C \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v(p) \cdot n(x))_\pm (1 + \mathcal{E}(p)) f_\varepsilon \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \\ &\leq C(M^- + K^-) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\varepsilon_0 c}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\partial\Omega} |n \wedge E_\varepsilon|^2 + c^2 |n \wedge B_\varepsilon|^2 \, d\sigma \, dt \leq 2K^-.$$

Therefore, we obtain uniform bounds for the total energy and the normal traces of the electromagnetic field. From now on the arguments are similar to those in the proof of theorem 1.1. Taking  $(\varepsilon_k)_k$  as a sequence of positive numbers converging towards 0 such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\varepsilon_k} &\rightharpoonup f \text{ weakly } \star \text{ in } L^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3) \\ (E_{\varepsilon_k}, B_{\varepsilon_k}) &\rightharpoonup (E, B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\Omega)^6) \\ (n \wedge E_{\varepsilon_k}, n \wedge B_{\varepsilon_k}) &\rightharpoonup (n \wedge E, n \wedge B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^6) \\ (n \cdot E_{\varepsilon_k}, n \cdot B_{\varepsilon_k}) &\rightharpoonup (n \cdot E, n \cdot B) \text{ weakly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^2), \end{aligned}$$

it is easily seen that  $(f, E, B)$  is a  $T$  periodic weak solution of (3), (4), (5), (6). Note also that by (52) we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} n \wedge E_{\varepsilon_k} = 0$  strongly in  $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\partial\Omega)^3)$ , saying that the electric field  $E$  satisfies the perfect conducting boundary condition  $n \wedge E = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusions

In this paper we have analysed the time periodic solutions of the Vlasov–Maxwell system into a bounded domain with specular boundary conditions. We have proved the existence of weak solutions satisfying these boundary conditions (note that global existence results are not available for smooth solutions). Certainly we are left with the difficult task of the uniqueness. Clearly uniqueness results do not hold true. Indeed, let us consider  $h$  such that

$$(h(x) \cdot n(x)) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} |h(x)|^2 \, d\sigma < +\infty$$

and  $g_1, g_2$  two  $T$  periodic, non-negative, bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma^-$  satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| (1 + \mathcal{E}(p)) g_k(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt < +\infty, \quad k \in \{1, 2\}$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| g_1(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt \neq \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^-} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| g_2(t, x, p) \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt.$$

Therefore, by theorem 1.1 there are two  $T$  periodic solutions  $(f_k, E_k, B_k), k \in \{1, 2\}$  for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) verifying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f_1 \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt &= M^-(g_1) \neq M^-(g_2) \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f_2 \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

In particular the solutions  $f_1, f_2$  could not coincide. Eventually we may inquire about uniqueness statements under the incoming/outgoing mass constraint, i.e. is it true that any two  $T$  periodic solutions for the Vlasov–Maxwell problem (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) satisfying

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f_1 \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma^\pm} |(v(p) \cdot n(x))| \gamma^\pm f_2 \, dp \, d\sigma \, dt$$

coincide? Surely the answer to this question is not obvious.

Another interesting point to be considered concerns the numerical computation of such permanent regimes. The natural idea would be to analyze the long time behaviour of the solutions for the Cauchy problem starting from some initial condition. Note that the specular boundary conditions (4) guarantee the conservation of the total mass, and therefore we may expect some long time stability properties.

## References

- [1] Arseneev A 1975 Global existence of a weak solution of the Vlasov system of equations *U.S.S.R Comput. Math. Phys.* **15** 131–43
- [2] Bardos C and Degond P 1985 Global existence for the Vlasov–Poisson equation in three space variables with small initial data *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire* **2** 101–18
- [3] Bostan M 2004 Solutions périodiques en temps des équations de Vlasov–Maxwell *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* **339** 451–6
- [4] Bostan M 2006 Asymptotic behavior of weak solutions for the relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell equations with large light speed *J. Differ. Equ.* **227** 444–98
- [5] Bostan M 2005 Boundary value problem for the three dimensional time periodic Vlasov–Maxwell system *J. Commun. Math. Sci.* **3** 621–63
- [6] Bouchut F, Golse F and Pallard C 2003 Classical solutions and the Glassey–Strauss theorem for the 3D Vlasov–Maxwell system *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **170** 1–15
- [7] Degond P 1986 Local existence of solutions of the Vlasov–Maxwell equations and convergence to the Vlasov–Poisson equations for infinite light velocity *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **8** 533–58
- [8] Diperna R J and Lions P L 1989 Global weak solutions of the Vlasov–Maxwell system *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **XVII** 729–57
- [9] Girault V and Raviart P-A 1979 *Finite Element Approximation of the Navier–Stokes Equations (Lecture Notes in Mathematics)* (Berlin: Springer)
- [10] Glassey R and Schaeffer J 1998 The relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell system in two space dimensions I *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **141** 331–54
- [11] Glassey R and Schaeffer J 1998 The relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell system in two space dimensions II *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **141** 355–74
- [12] Glassey R and Strauss W 1986 Singularity formation in a collisionless plasma could only occur at high velocities *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **92** 56–90
- [13] Glassey R and Strauss W 1989 Large velocities in the relativistic Vlasov–Maxwell equations *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* **36** 615–27
- [14] Greengard C and Raviart P-A 1990 A boundary value problem for the stationary Vlasov–Poisson equations: the plane diode *Commun. Pure and Appl. Math.* **XLIII** 473–507
- [15] Guo Y 1993 Global weak solutions of the Vlasov–Maxwell system with boundary conditions *Commun. Math. Phys.* **154** 245–63
- [16] Klainerman S and Staffilani G 2002 A new approach to study the Vlasov–Maxwell system *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **1** 103–25
- [17] Lions P-L and Perthame B 1991 Propagation of moments and regularity for the 3-dimensional Vlasov–Poisson system *Invent. Math.* **105** 415–30
- [18] Necas J 1967 *Les méthodes directes en théorie des équations elliptiques* (Paris: Masson)
- [19] Pfaffelmoser K 1992 Global classical solutions of the Vlasov–Poisson system in three dimensions for general initial data *J. Differ. Equ.* **95** 281–303
- [20] Poupaud F 1992 Boundary value problems for the stationary Vlasov–Maxwell system *Forum Math.* **4** 499–527
- [21] Schaeffer J 1991 Global existence of smooth solutions to the Vlasov–Poisson system in three dimensions *Commun. P. D. E.* **16** 1313–35
- [22] Ukai T and Okabe S 1978 On the classical solution in the large time of the two dimensional Vlasov equations *Osaka J. Math.* **15** 245–61